1					
	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General				
2	JANA L. TUTON, Supervising Deputy Attorney General FRED A. SLIMP II				
3					
4					
5	P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-255-				
6	Telephone: (916) 324-7861				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8					
9	BEFORE THE				
10	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
11	- 1				
12					
13	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 08-94-33886				
14	Against:) STIPULATION IN COMMUNICATION				
15	LARRY M. CHO, M.D.) SETTLEMENT; DECISION 2501 G Street) AND ORDER				
16	Bakersfield, CA 93301)				
17	Physician's and Surgeon's) Certificate No. A-32478)				
18	Respondent.)				
19	Description (Charles to Charles t				
20	Respondent Larry M. Cho, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), by				
21	and through his counsel Dennis M. Warren, A Professional				
2,2	Corporation, by Dennis M. Warren, Esq., and the Division of				
23	Medical Quality, Medical Board of California (hereinafter				
24	"Board"), through its counsel Deputy Attorney General Fred A.				
25	Slimp II, do hereby enter into the following stipulation:				
26	1. Respondent was heretofore issued California Physician's				
27	and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-32478 under the laws of the State				
<i>4 1</i>	of California. At all times pertinent hereto, said certificate				

was in full force and effect, and will expire on November 30, 1995.

- 2. On or about May 27, 1994, an Accusation bearing number 08-94-33886 was filed by Dixon Arnett, Executive Director of the Board, in his official capacity as such. Said Accusation alleged causes for disciplinary action against respondent. Respondent was duly and properly served with Accusation number 08-94-33886 by certified mail, and respondent filed a timely Notice of Defense requesting a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation.
- 3. Respondent has retained as counsel Dennis M. Warren, A Professional Corporation, by Dennis M. Warren, Esq., who has made respondent fully aware of the charges and allegations of violation of the California Business and Professions Code contained in Accusation number 08-94-33886, and has also made him fully aware of his rights under the Administrative Procedure Act of the State of California, including his right to a formal hearing and opportunity to defend against the charges contained in Accusation number 08-94-33886, and reconsideration and judicial review of any adverse decision that might be rendered following said hearing. Respondent knowingly and intelligently waives his rights to a hearing, reconsideration, judicial review, and to any and all other rights which may be accorded him pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act regarding the charges contained in Accusation number 08-94-33886.
- 4. For the purposes of this settlement and the resolution of the charges contained in Accusation number 08-91-14340 entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Anthony G. Bledin, M.D."

and for no other reason or purpose, respondent admits that on or about November, 1990 to on or about October, 1991, respondent, doing business as, in, or through Valley Industrial Medical Group, 2501 G Street, Bakersfield, CA 93301, sent and/or referred approximately four hundred seven (407) magnetic resonance imaging ("MRI") patients to Anthony G. Bledin, M.D., doing business as Ocean Medical Imaging, for medical imaging purposes.

- 14

Respondent further admits that he received at least sixtyfour thousand dollars (\$64,000) from Bledin and/or Ocean Medical
Imaging in illegal patient referral fees during the period
indicated above, equalling a per patient/per month referral value
of between approximately \$163 to \$257.

Respondent also admits that his receipt of illegal patient referral fees from Anthony G. Bledin, M.D. and/or Ocean Medical Imaging as set forth above constitutes violations of Business and Professions Code §§ 650 and 2234(e) as general intent offenses and unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Business and Professions Code § 2234 in conjuction with § 650 and, independently, within the meaning of § 2234(e).

- 5. In consideration of the preceding stipulations and admissions, the Board may enter the following order: Physician's and Surgeon's certificate number A-32478 previously issued to respondent Larry M. Cho, M.D., is hereby revoked; however, revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for a period of five (5) years under the following terms and conditions:
 - (A) Respondent shall cooperate with complainant in

providing truthful interviews in anticipation of his testimony, and shall testify truthfully on behalf of complainant in any administrative hearing or other forum or proceeding wherein complainant is a party convened for the purpose of resolving the allegations contained in Accusation number 08-91-14340, "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Anthony G. Bledin, M.D.;"

- <u>-</u>

2.3

- (B) Respondent shall testify in any such hearing, forum or proceeding as required by paragraph 5(A), above, consistently with the admissions contained in paragraph 4, above;
- (C) Respondent's failure to comply with the provisions or requirements of paragraphs 5(A) or 5(B) shall constitute unprofessional conduct amenable to discipline by the Board;
- (D) As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for thirty (30) days beginning the effective date of the Board's decision herein;
- (E) Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of the Board's decision herein, respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval a course in ethics which respondent shall successfully complete during the first year of probation;
- (F) Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California;
- (G) Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation;
 - (H) Respondent shall comply with the Division's

probation surveillance program;

1.4

1.5

2.3

- (I) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice;
- (J) The period of probation shall not run during the time respondent is residing or practicing outside the jurisdiction of California. If, during probation, respondent moves out of the jurisdiction of California to reside or practice elsewhere, respondent shall immediately notify the Division in writing of the date of departure and the date of return, if any;
- (K) Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored; and
- (L) If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 6. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the terms and conditions set forth herein shall be null and void and not binding on the parties hereto unless and until approved by the Board, but that after respondent has signed the stipulation herein he shall not be permitted to withdraw from the stipulation unless or until it is rejected by the Board.

27 ///

1	DATED: Ful 9, 1995	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
2	,	of the State of California JANA L. TUTON, Supervising
3		Deputy Attorney General
4		Frenza -
5		FRED A. SLIMP II Deputy Attorney General
6		Attorneys for Complainant
7		
8	1	
9	DATED: 2.2.95	DENNIS M. WARREN, A Prof. Corp.
10		Suffer (
11		Ву:
12		DENNIS M. WARREN, ESQ.
13		Attorney for Respondent
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
10 . 19	•	
20		
21		
. 22 . 23	3	
24	ll .	
25	5	
26	5	
27	7	

I HAVE READ the stipulation, decision and order. I understand that I have the right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, the right to cross-examine witnesses, and the right to introduce evidence on my own behalf. I knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waive all of these rights, and understand that by signing the stipulation I am permitting the Board to impose discipline on my certificate. I understand the terms and ramifications of the stipulation, decision and order, and agree to be bound by those terms. DATED: 2-1-95 Hung M. Cho, M.D. Respondent

7.

2;3

DECISION AND ORDER

The foregoing is adopted as the Decision of the D	ivision of
Medical Quality, Medical Board of California in this m	atter and
shall become effective on the 24thday of March ,	1995.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 23rd day of February , 1995.

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву	Ju	hinlill	

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California JANA L. TUTON 2 Supervising Deputy Attorney General FRED A. SLIMP II 3 Deputy Attorney General 1515 K Street, Suite 511 P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-7861 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation No. 08-94-33886 12 Against: 13 ACCUSATION LARRY M. CHO, M.D. 14 2501 G Street Bakersfield, CA 93301 15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-32478 16 17 Respondent. 18 Complainant, Dixon Arnett, alleges as follows: 19 20 1. He is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter "Board"), and makes and files this 21 accusation solely in his official capacity. 22 On or about June 20, 1978, respondent Larry M. 23 Cho, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent") was issued Physician's and 24 Surgeon's Certificate number A-32478 by the Board. At all times 25 pertinent herein, said certificate was, and currently is, in full 26 force and effect. 27

3. Sections 2220 and 2234 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") provide, in pertinent part, that the Division of Medical Quality may take action against the holder of a physician's and surgeon's certificate who is guilty of unprofessional conduct.

- 4. Section 650 of the Code provides that the offer, delivery, receipt, or acceptance by any person licensed under this division of any rebate, refund, commission, preference, patronage dividend, discount, or other consideration, whether in the form of money or otherwise, as compensation or inducement for referring patients, clients, or customers to any person, irrespective of any membership, proprietary interest or co-ownership in or with any person to whom these patients, clients, or customers are referred is unlawful.
- 5. Section 2234(e) of the Code provides that the commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 6. Section 2261 of the Code provides that knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 7. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 2234 in conjunction with section 650, and within the meaning of sections 2234(e) and 2261 as more specifically set forth below:

Patient Referral Kick-Back Scheme

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In or about November 1990 to in or about October 1991, respondent, doing business as, in, or through Valley Industrial Medical Group, 2501 G Street, Bakersfield, California 93301, sent and/or referred approximately four hundred seven (407) magnetic resonance imaging ("MRI") patients to Anthony G. Bledin, M.D., doing business as Ocean Medical Imaging, for medical imaging purposes. Pursuant to an illegal kick-back scheme whereby respondent received one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) per referral to Bledin and/or Ocean Medical Imaging disguised as a facilities "rental" fee, respondent received at least sixty-four thousand dollars (\$64,000) in illegal patient referral fees during the period above indicated from Bledin and/or Ocean Medical Imaging. During the same period, respondent caused to be issued by Valley Industrial Medical Group numerous invoices for payment from Bledin and/or Ocean Medical Imaging falsely stating that the said invoices were for facilities "rental" payments rather than for the illegal patient referral fees actually involved.

- 8. Respondent's receipt of patient referral fees from Anthony G. Bledin, M.D. and/or Ocean Medical Imaging as indicated above constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 2234 in conjunction with section 650 and within the meaning of section 2234(e).
- 9. Respondent's causing to be issued false invoices for spurious "rental" fees to cover the illegal referral fees as indicated above constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code sections 2234(e) and 2261.